

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMETICE Patent and Tratemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

42 7 768		Weshing	ton, D.C. 20231	
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCK	ET NO.
001/07/100	0/10/105	rnan		***
08/427,468	04/24/95 FR	IESE	A EXAMINER	J&J-1500
	•		REICHLE, K	
AUDILEY A C	IAMPORCERO JR	33M1/1008	407 (Mart	
JOHNSON &	JOHNSON	į	ART UNIT PAP	ER NUMBER
ONE JOHNSO NEW BRUNSW	N & JOHNSON PLAZ. ICK NJ 08933-7		3308	42
Man Bronds	,	1	DATE MAILED:	
				10/08/9
This is a communication from the COMMISSIONER OF PATENT	ne examiner in charge of your ap 'S AND TRADEMARKS	plication.		
		CTION SUMMARY		•
Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on	96, 7-5-96		
This action is FINAL.	•			
-	. dist f 11			
Since this application is in co- accordance with the practice			tion as to the merits is o	closed in
shortened statutory period for r	esponse to this action is set	to expire 3	month(s), or thir	tu dave
hichever is longer, from the maine application to become aband	ling date of this communicat	ion. Failure to respond with	in the period for respons	A will cause
.136(a).	. (35 U.S.C. 9 133). Ex	tensions of time may be obt	ained under the provision	18 OT 37 CFH
isposition of Claims		<i>.</i> .		
X Claim(s) 9-12,	14-19,23-28	<u>. </u>	is/are pending	in the applicati
Of the above, claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
	149,23-28			
	.,,			-
□ Claims Claims 1- %,(3) pplication Papers	, 20-22 have	seen concerto"	subject to restriction or ele	ection requirem
$\ \square$ See the attached Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-948.	•	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on		is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.	
The proposed drawing corr	ection, filed on $\frac{7-5}{}$	-96	is 🗋 approved	a disapprov
The specification is objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
The oath or declaration is o	blected to by the Examiner.			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
_		-d051100 6 440(-) (d		
Acknowledgement is made or				
_	of the CERTIFIED copies	or the phonty documents h	ave been	
received.				
	o. (Series Code/Serial Numb		•	•
received in this national	stage application from the In	ternational Bureau (PCT Rui	e 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:				······································
Acknowledgement is made o	f a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)				
☐ Notice of Reference Cited,	PTO-892	- 0		
Information Disclosure Sta	•	No(s). 37		
Interview Summary, PTO-4				
		0.40		
	atent Drawing Meview, PTO-	940		

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES --

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

PTOL-326 (Rev. 10/95)

The oath or declaration is objected to for the reasons set forth on page 2, lines 1-9 of a previous Office Action, Paper No. 28.

Applicant's remark's on page 2, first full paragraph of the 7-5-96 response are noted.

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on July 5, 1996 have been not approved. The proposed changes to Figure 9 are not approved as 12 and 13 are portions of the surface and the proposed changes do not show them as surfaces. Figure 10 is not approved since element 17 as now shown denotes the element already and properly shown as 27.

Applicants remarks bridging pages 1-2 of the 7-8-96 response and page 3, last paragraph of the 4-18-96 response have been considered but are deemed nonpersuasive for the reasons discussed supra.

The drawings are objected to for the reasons set forth on page 2, lines 10-14 of a previous Office Action, Paper No. 28.

The amendment filed August 22, 1994 is objected to under 35 because it introduces matter into the U.S.C. § 132 new 35 U.S.C. § 132 states that no amendment shall specification. introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: page 3, lines 20-21, page 4, line 27.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the response

to this Office action.

Applicant's remarks on page 4, first two paragraphs, of the 4-15-96 response have been considered. It is Applicant's position that the disclosure of Figures 2 and 4 are clarified by the amendments. See paragraph bridging pages 5-6 and page 6, lines 2-4 of the last Office Action, Paper No. Additionally, 36. Applicant's remarks on page 5, lines 6-12 of the 4-15-96 response, 1.e. preform has open passages, tampon has yet, on page 4, line 27, Applicant describes the tampon, not the preform, may have open passages alone or in combination with closed passages. page 3, lines 7-9 of the 4-15-96 response Applicant, discloses Figure 2 is support for claim 23, i.e. a tampon, rather than a preform. See also, e.g., page 3, lines 16-17 of the specification. Applicant's own remarks seem to indicate confusion as to what the originally filed specification teaches let alone what it supports.

The specification is objected to for the reasons set forth on page 3, line 11 - page 4, line 2 and page 4, lines 5-9 of a previous Office Action, Paper No. 28. Also, see new matter objection, supra.

Applicant's remarks on page 4, line 21 - page 5, line have been considered but are deemed nonpersuasive because Applicant's own remarks, see discussion supra, indicate confusion as to what the invention is, e.g. open grooves only in preform yet device with open grooves used to support language defining a tampon.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the specification, as originally filed, does not provide support for the invention as is now claimed Claim 23 now claims the tampon is free of restrictions to substantial radial expansion. Claim 27 now claims the coarse capillary structure of the ribs is substantially uniform. Claim 28 claims the tampon is capable of substantially uniform radial expansion along the longitudinal direction. On page 3, second and third full Applicant sets forth the alleged support for such paragraphs. amendments. Examiner does not agree. For claim 23, Applicant cites Figures 1-4 and "by implication", page 8a, lines 7-10. However, page 8a, lines 7-10 does not state explicitly or by implication that the expansion is free of restrictions. Figures 1-4 also do not show that expansion, which takes when wetted, e.g., in the body, which is not shown in Figures 1-4, is free of restrictions. Likewise, claim 28 is not supported - explicitly or implicitly by page 8a, lines 7-10 or page 1, lines 5-7 which doesn't even describe the present invention. As to claim 27,

"substantially uniform" refers to all directions of the ribs where as Figure 4 only shows the cross-sectional direction.

Claims 9-12, 14-19 and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Claim 10 would be in better form if on line 3, "the" were amended as --its respective--.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In regard to claim 28, a positive structural antecedent basis for "the longitudinal direction" should be defined.

The claims distinguish over the art because the prior art does not teach the separation amounts as claimed in claim 23 in combination with the other claimed elements now the press dies and forming are as claimed in claim 9.

Applicant's remarks on page 5, line 27 - page 9, last line are deemed moot.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to K. Reichle at telephone number (703) 308-2617.

K.M. leuchle KARIN REICHLÉ PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 358

K. Reichle:lf
September 29, 1996